

This is a 'Basic 7 Retroactive' Disclosure Statement for the following published article:

LeBel, E. P. (2010). Attitude accessibility as a moderator of implicit and explicit self-esteem correspondence. *Self and Identity*, 9, 195-208. DOI: 10.1080/15298860902979166

The Disclosure Statement discloses additional methodological details that were not required to be disclosed under journal reporting standards at the time, but which are essential for interpreting research findings. The categories of disclosed methodological details include the 4 categories covered by PsychDisclosure.org (originally based on [Simmons, Nelson, and Simonsohn's \(2012\) 21-word solution](#)), and additionally disclosures related to analytic plans (#5), unreported related studies (#6), and other disclosures (#7).

The information below was not disclosed in the published article:

1. **Excluded observations:** Full details reported in article.
2. **Experimental conditions:** Full details reported in article (see FN1 for details regarding 3 experimental factors that were tested but ignored given lack of mean differences across conditions).
3. **Outcome measures:** Other measures assessed (e.g., causal attribution measures), but went unreported given they were unrelated to the target research question.
4. **Sample size determination:** Data collection stopped after reaching a predetermined sample size in both samples (which were eventually combined due to editorial request during peer review). No analyses were performed (in either samples) before data collection was completed.
5. **Analytic plans:** The analytic plans for the predicted interactive effect (implicit self-esteem × time interaction effect on explicit self-esteem) were specified *after* examining the data.
6. **Unreported related studies:** Two additional studies were conducted that tested a related but distinct hypothesis. In both samples, the expected pattern of results (implicit self-esteem × time interaction effect on explicit self-esteem) was not observed for reasons not yet understood. The framing and context of these additional studies were sufficiently dissimilar to the two reported studies to justify omitting such information from the published article, as per standard reporting practices at the time.
7. **Other disclosures:** None.